UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

VIRGINIA LEE LANE,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 5:19-cv-42-Oc-PRL

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.		

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the motion of Plaintiff's counsel, Richard A. Culbertson, for authorization to charge a reasonable fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §406(b) in the amount of \$11,749.26. (Doc. 22). In support of the motion, Mr. Culbertson has filed a signed fee agreement in which Plaintiff acknowledges a 25% fee award of past due benefits. (Doc. 22-1). Mr. Culbertson represents that the Commissioner has no objection to the requested fees.

Previously, this Court reversed and remanded the case to the Social Security Administration for further proceedings. (Doc.18). The Court entered an order awarding attorney's fees to Mr. Culbertson under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") in the sum of \$3,119.39. (Doc. 21.) Subsequently, on remand, Plaintiff was awarded past due benefits in the amount of \$59,475. (Doc. 22-2). Pursuant to the fee agreement, the attorney fee payable from Plaintiff's past-due benefits is \$11,749.26 [25% of the past-due benefits, \$14,868.75 minus the EAJA fees awarded, \$3,119.49 = \$11,749.26].

Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1), an attorney, as here, who successfully represents a Social Security claimant in court may be awarded as part of the judgment "a reasonable fee for such

representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits" awarded to the claimant. The fee is payable "out of, and not in addition to, the amount of [the] past-due benefits." 42 U.S.C. Sec 406(b)(1). As required by Gisbrecht v. Barnhardt, 535 U.S. 789, 808 (2002) - the Supreme Court's pronouncement concerning the award of 406(b) fees - courts should approach contingent-fee determinations by first looking to the agreement between the attorney and the client, and then testing that agreement for reasonableness. "A contingent-fee agreement is not per se reasonable. Deference should be given, however, to the 'freely negotiated expression both of a claimant's willingness to pay more than a particular hourly rate ... and of an attorney's willingness to take the case despite the risk of nonpayment." Joslyn v. Barnhart, 389 F.Supp.2d 454, 456 (W.D. N.Y. 2005). As such, when a court is called upon to assess the reasonableness of the award, a court should balance the interest in protecting claimants from inordinately large fees against the interest in ensuring that attorneys are adequately compensated so that they continue to represent clients in disability benefits cases. Gisbrecht, 535 U.S. at 805. In making this reasonableness determination, the Supreme Court highlighted several important factors including: (1) whether the requested fee is out of line with the "character of the representation and the results the representation achieved;" (2) whether the attorney unreasonably delayed the proceedings in an attempt to increase the accumulation of benefits and thereby increase his own fee; and (3) whether "the benefits awarded are large in comparison to the amount of time counsel spent on the case," the so-called "windfall" factor. Id. at 808.

Here, the Court finds that the requested attorney's fees are reasonable. The requested fee will not result in a windfall for counsel – i.e., that counsel is receiving compensation he is not entitled to and that payment of the compensation would be unfair or detrimental to Plaintiff. The Court notes that this case involved lengthy administrative proceedings and remand. Mr. Culbertson

has submitted a signed fee agreement in which Plaintiff acknowledged that counsel would receive

25% of all past due benefits awarded on appeal. (Doc. 22-1). The Court is satisfied that this fee

award is reasonable in comparison to the amount of time and effort Plaintiff's counsel expended

on this case and given the risks in contingent litigation. Further, the Commissioner does not oppose

the attorney fee award requested by Plaintiff.

Accordingly, for these reasons, Mr. Culbertson's motion for authorization to charge a

reasonable fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 406(b) (Doc. 22) is due to be **GRANTED**. Section 406(b)

fees are approved for Mr. Culbertson in the sum of \$11,749.26.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Ocala, Florida on October 28, 2020.

PHILIP R. LAMMENS

United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record

Unrepresented Parties